

# Final vote tabulation by Promo-LEX OM at the new local elections of 19 November 2017

## *Comparative Analysis of the Vote Tabulation Data Provided by Promo-LEX OM and by the Central Electoral Commission*

The *final results*, submitted by Promo-LEX Observation Mission (OM) regarding the number of valid votes cast for each electoral candidate were calculated on the basis of the data from 24 vote tabulation minutes of the PS set up for the new local elections of 19 November 2017, submitted to Promo-LEX OM members and verified manually by the Mission.

<b>Constituency I</b>	<b>Candidates</b>	<b>Final data, Promo-LEX</b>	<b>Preliminary data, CEC</b>	<b>Difference between Promo-LEX data/CEC data</b>
Lăpușna township, Hâncești district	<i>Participation rate</i>	24.10%	24.44%	0.34%
	Bubuioc Ion (PDM)	100%	100%	0
Sărăteni twp., Leovad.	<i>Participation rate</i>	52.82%	52.82%	0
	Ungureanu Vladimir (PDM)	51.93%	51.93%	0
	Prida Igor (IC)	48.07%	48.07%	0

Căpriana twp., Strășeni d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	48.95%	48.95%	0
	Scutaru Ion (PDM)	83.48%	83.49%	0.01%
	Grosu Ion (PSRM)	8.31%	8.31%	0
	Scutaru Afina (IC)	8.21%	8.21%	0
Ghiliceni twp., Telenești d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	47.80%	47.80%	0
	Rotaru Nicolae (PDM)	54.66%	54.66%	0
	Hajdeu Vera (IC)	30.30%	30.30%	0
	Hajdeu Ion (PSRM)	15.04%	15.04%	0
Berlinți v., Briceni d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	62.74%	62.74%	0
	Oleinic Viorica (PDM)	45.54%	45.54%	0
	Beliciuc Iurii (PSRM)	31.19%	31.19%	0
	Burlaca Ghennadii (CI)	16.65%	16.65%	0
	Coltiuc Victor (PN)	6.62%	6.62%	0
Zârnești v., Cahul d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	41.23%	41.23%	0
	Beju Nicolae (PDM)	88.76%	88.76%	0
	Pascal Victor (PSRM)	11.24	11.24%	0

Plopi v., Cantemir d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	38.18	38.18%	0
	Todorov Anatoli (PDM)	100%	100%	0
Sângera t., Chişinău	<i>Participation rate</i>	35.73%	35.73%	0
	Poiața Valeriu (PDM)	36.78%	36.78%	0
	Brinzila Sergiu (PSRM)	22.60%	22.60%	0
	Popa Valeriu (PPEM)	20.56%	20.56%	0
	Lari Nichita (PL)	10.68%	10.68%	0
	Duca Ion (PN)	6.58%	6.58%	0
	Baciu Igor (PNL)	2.79%	2.79%	0
Zârnești twp., Florești d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	50.02%	50.03%	0.01%
	Zdragus Ludmila (PDM)	78.19%	78.19%	0
	Cojocari Ivan (PSRM)	21.81%	21.81%	0
Fundurii Noi v., Glodeni d.	<i>Participation rate</i>	52.22%	52.22%	0
	Colenco Vasili (PDM)	61.83%	61.83%	0
	Ghetmancenco Tatiana (PSRM)	38.17%	38.17%	0

### ***Overview of the Results***

According to Promo-LEX final results – the elections are valid in 9 constituencies out of 10. In one of them – Lăpușna (Hâncești), the elections may be declared invalid because less than 1/4 of the persons on the voter lists voted. The analysis of the participation rate in the 9 constituencies where the elections may be declared valid showed that the voter turnout represented an average of 47.74%. The voters in Berlinți twp. (Briceni d.) were the most active – 62.74%, while Sângera (Chișinău mun.) had the lowest voter turnout – 35.73%.

In 7 constituencies out of 9, the mayors were elected at the first round of elections. All 7 are representatives of the Democratic Party of Moldova (PDM). A second round of the elections will be held in Berlinți and Sângera, with PDM and PSRM (Socialist Party) representatives running for the position of mayor. The elections in Lăpușna are to be declared invalid because of low voter turnout (less than one fourth of the voters). Thus, repeated elections shall be conducted within two weeks, using the same voter lists and with the the same candidates (one PDM candidate) and the same councils and electoral offices.

### ***Accuracy of the Vote Counting Minutes***

When monitoring the new local elections, Promo-LEX OM also analysed the accuracy of vote counting minutes. To this end, 24 minutes – given to the Promo-LEX observers by the EOPS chairpersons – were examined.

Promo-LEX OM found that in 23 of the 24 minutes developed at the new local elections the verification formulae were observed. Insignificant errors (2 votes) were found in the minutes of the PS 1/273 from Dobrogea, in the formula  $h=g1+g2+g3+g4+g5+g6$ , which raises question marks as to the accuracy of the total number of valid votes cast, including from the perspective of the votes obtained by the competitors.

Also, Promo-LEX OM reiterates the need for EOPS members to fill in the fields in the same way (e.g. filling in all the

fields).

A particular situation regarding the content of the vote counting minutes happened in the electoral office of PS 38 from Lăpușna, where the Promo-LEX observer was submitted a minutes template that was different from the other minutes templates used at the new local elections of 19 November 2017.

**Contacts:**

**Emil Gaitur**, Promo-LEX Communicator – tel.: +37369172642;  
email: [emil.gaitur@promolex.md](mailto:emil.gaitur@promolex.md)

**Axenia Chirilov**, Promo-LEX Communicator – tel.: +37360168314;  
email: [axenia.chirilov@promolex.md](mailto:axenia.chirilov@promolex.md)